Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/055,811	VEDRUCCIO, CLARBRUNO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arlen Soderquist	1743	

All Participants:

(1) *Arlen Soderquist*.

(2) *William R. Evans*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *25 April 2005*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner called to inform applicant that an advisory action was being prepared because the after final amendment mailed 4-15-05 was not being entered. The call was made in view of the time remaining in the Statutory Period.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ (Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)